08cv439

under Civil Local Rules 41.1 and 55.1. The hearing took place on February 13, 2012. At the hearing, the Court dismissed this action without prejudice, but granted Plaintiff's counsel's request for a 30-day stay of the dismissal. Thereafter, Plaintiff's counsel sought an order from the Court to approve the substitution of counsel. The Court approved the substitution, and Plaintiff is now proceeding pro se.

Plaintiff now moves to extend the stay of dismissal another 120 days. He indicates that he is currently enrolled in a 6-month residential rehabilitation program, but wishes "the opportunity to prove up the judgment against Defendant, Justin Thompson." (Pl.'s Appl. 1:20–2:2 [Doc. 96].) Plaintiff also states that he is currently in the "black-out" phase of his treatment. (Id. at 1:26–27.) Given that there has effectively been no activity in this action for over a year, Plaintiff's enrollment in the 6-month residential rehabilitation program fails to explain his failure to prosecute this action. That said, the Court will exercise its discretion and grant Plaintiff some leeway.

In light of the foregoing, the Court **GRANTS IN PART** Plaintiff's ex parte application. (Doc. 96.) Plaintiff shall be given a **30-day extension** to the stay of dismissal. **However, no** further extensions will be granted henceforth.

United States District Court Judge

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 7, 2012

COPY TO:

23

HON. RUBEN B. BROOKS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

ALL COUNSEL/PARTIES

27

28